

brother of Palerme is England who you be imposed so easily in  
the seal of which is all the document, although  
by Mr. Mallett to Mr. de la Conception could Mr. Little deserve  
credibility because it is from that the  
real authority used

My dear Sir & Brother.

I have read with much pleasure in to day's Freemason, in note - 1<sup>o</sup>, let Little  
show that any Knight Grand Cross of any Order that ever existed in Europe, possessed at any time  
the power to found a branch of an order in his own or another country, to which he was a  
Knight Grand Cross - It is absurd - It is ridiculous - Is it even if we suppose for a moment  
that Justinian really founded a branch to of the Constantinian Order, to which it is  
said on his own only testimony that he belonged, in England, do you think for a moment  
that he would not mention such an extraordinary fact in his History of the Chivalric  
Order of Christendom? W. Little is very unfortunate that both the abbe Justinian  
and the Bishop Eusebius, wrote books, of which it is clearly proved his assertions are  
the production of his own imagination. I had no letter yet from the Sicilian  
minister - I wonder if Count Kappel made a blunder in his interview with Little,  
or if he's absent from town, are the Minister awaiting his return - But even if W. Little  
will claim<sup>now</sup> descent from the Patriarch-Naples invitation, the proper move  
to ask recognition is Francis II of Naples, not Victor Emmanuel of Italy -  
I wrote to you before, Francis II has no <sup>with</sup> whatever on our Order in  
I laughed when I read F. & L. Little's reply to day - He is a poor historian indeed  
Darey to bring as a testimony of his assertions a second rate book published  
32 years ago, containing neither documentary evidence, references, or any  
further testimony - And even that great and mighty book which says:  
It is, simply that he ~~considered~~ a degree called "Chevalier Tempelier  
Ecossais de la croix Rouge, ou de Rome & de Lombardie &c de"  
It does not bring any proof of its descent from Lombardie, or of  
its representing to day the Order of Lombardie - Does it contain  
the history of that degree, and does it say that it was established  
by Lombardie? It might have been established, in the  
same way that any band of Freemasons might establish  
Massonic Order in imitation of a really historical publick order

*(I never saw the book)*

The Book <sup>below</sup> gives simply the ritual of the Order as it existed then in Scotland, as it might give the ritual of any other <sup>ancient</sup> Order existing - we do not deny the existence of a "Red Cross" Order at the time of 1808 & of the Duke of Sussex which might also have been called of Constantinople and we do not require documents of lodges at that time, but wish documentary evidence, clearly proving its descent from the real Order of Saint George from which it <sup>itself</sup> says it descends and not only deserves, but actually <sup>possesses</sup> now - What we care of trivial matter <sup>written on documents</sup> ~~and~~ severally years ago, which after all may be a forgery - What Mr Little must prove is, when, first, was it established in England and by whom? If Mr Little were to say that it was founded in his possession, then <sup>which is now known</sup> an other bearing the title of "Red Cross of Rome and Constantinople" was worked in England 100 years ago, his <sup>that</sup> he does not know when it first originally <sup>was</sup> written upon have to say against it a single word, and the numberless of it for three years until you shall see genuine article - but certainly it is very absurd as no man to claim such a high descent without any work but some mark or waste paper dated "the beginning of this century, and to sell the whole of the honour to any body who will give a sum or so - Really to G <sup>as</sup> surprised now people can

found in England who can be imposed so easily in  
one of which is abhorred to the Government adopted  
Mr. Wright <sup>a better guy a few miles</sup> to Mr. de Costa, will Mr. Little describe  
politically, because it is the one from which the  
will actually used by their sovereign and printed  
The title page of their report, it is not the real  
of the current Byzantine eagle - It is nonsense  
everything relating to the Order - Really <sup>with difficulty</sup> it is  
ought never to have dared to print such documents,  
and then say "This will probably settle the question  
to the Deputy of the "Red Cross" Masonic Order  
of the Constantinian Order - Does he think they  
are fools? and are really Masons, who can be  
knew in by such ~~means~~ <sup>ways</sup> ~~methods~~ in defence  
the Order? - As regards the rituals which he mentions,  
might say <sup>that he has got</sup> rituals of such  
a such a date <sup>of course older than he presents</sup> when are <sup>it</sup> the disposal of  
up to or any other. Rather who would like to  
them, although it belongs to the Order - In  
such circumstances, secrecy can be put aside  
the goods of the Order ~~which~~ now can clearly  
show - Here is a usual two or three hundred  
years ago - It's he could bring such, Then suddenly  
~~in~~ <sup>exhibited them to him</sup> makes us believe that a Masonic  
Order for <sup>of 600</sup> ~~it~~ Masons reasons usurped the name  
conclusive, and that the present one is descended  
in it - You have asked for documents of more  
than a few years ago &c - And what he brings?  
one small memorandum written outside of  
London dated 1812, and which move nothing  
of genuine - The other document of Mr. Wright  
the worst evidence against himself, because it is of  
a member member of a "Red Cross" Order may

we of a "Red Cross Order of Renée and Cambyses"  
we never denied <sup>nor</sup> a "Red Cross Order" <sup>in Babylon or</sup> in Masonry  
but we deny <sup>nowise</sup> that of an Order claiming descent  
from that established by Constantine the Great.  
I wonder why the antiquaries Mr. Little does not  
know an hour, review a book the building of  
the Temple of Saint Joshua at Cœl-Sainte-Victoire  
by the Emperor Justinian the Great - Furthermore  
considered Saint Joshua greater in every respect  
to that of Jerusalem built by Solomon, as he  
moved it when he exclaimed at the inaugu-  
ration of Saint Joshua "I have conquered  
thee o Solomon," Nevika or Zophar. — But enough.  
I hope you will write an article, which <sup>will</sup> settle  
in peace all little "grecs" <sup>etc.</sup> <sup>etc.</sup> <sup>etc.</sup> <sup>etc.</sup> <sup>etc.</sup> <sup>etc.</sup>  
My venerable document I <sup>will</sup> teach how to introduce —  
excuse haste. I <sup>hope</sup> you will be able to read this  
I am going to Paris on Wednesday to  
remain for <sup>a</sup> day or two — If you have any-  
thing to write <sup>there</sup>, please address your letter  
to me at the "Doré's Hotel", Louis Philippe  
Square where I propose to stay — If I can  
do anything for you there, command me.

Felix Freiburgly & Ruth  
Rhodowentzki

2 April 1870

Park Bank House

R. W. & S. P. D. R. K. R. L.  
Philadelphia

The festival of Saint Michael is on the 8/20 November, when  
our Order of Saint Michael was also instituted